

Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet

(George Bush Library)

Document No. and Type	Subject/Title of Document	Date	Restriction	Class.
07. Note	Case Number 356603 From President Bush to Brent Scowcroft Re: New York Times article (2 pp.)	10/14/92	P-5	

Collection:

Record Group: Bush Presidential Records
Office: Records Management, White House Office of (WHORM)
Series: Subject File - C.F.
Subseries:
WHORM Cat.: CO072
File Location: 287965SS to 365241

Date Closed: 12/20/2002	OA/ID Number: 00002-001
FOIA/SYS Case #: 1998-0099-F	Appeal Case #:
Re-review Case #: 2004-1908-S	Appeal Disposition:
P-2/P-5 Review Case #:	Disposition Date:
AR Case #:	MR Case #:
AR Disposition:	MR Disposition:
AR Disposition Date:	MR Disposition Date:

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P-1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P-2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P-5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

PRM. Removed as a personal record misfile.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- (b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information

EF



THE PRES

Per Rose 10/14
The arrival answered the President's question -
FILE
C.F.
356603
00072

Brent October 14, 1992

The NYT strikes again.

I assume "A" is false.

Yes _____ No X

GB

A

When House Democrats asked the
ent prosecutor to investigate aid given to
before the Persian Gulf war, Attorney General
William Barr was outraged. He sensed that political
opponents were out to get him. But Mr. Barr
asserted wrongly that his Justice Department was
handling the case credibly. Its key prosecution is
unraveling in a welter of confusion. Mr. Barr's
anger was unjustified.

Mistakes of judgment were made when the
Bush Administration kept trying to appease Sad-
dam Hussein long after Iraq's war with Iran had
ended. But crimes also were committed as the
United States favored Iraq with loan guarantees
ostensibly to pay for food. The money was diverted
to military purposes and Government records were
doctored to disguise the transactions.

For two years the main Government case has
been against Christopher Drogoul, the former At-
lanta branch manager for the Rome-based Banca
Nazionale del Lavoro. He stands virtually alone,
accused of defrauding his employers in Italy by
secretly lending Iraq billions of dollars, much of
which wound up helping Mr. Hussein's military.

... a little like nailing second
crimes of Watergate proportions. Mr.
no innocent, but he refuses to take the rap.
has withdrawn his guilty plea and will stay
after the election. The charge that he def
employers, it now turns out, is almost
incomplete.

The plea bargain was dissolved at
quarreling between Justice and the C.I.A.
had overlooked evidence that the bank's of
Rome were aware of Mr. Drogoul's mach

Now the F.B.I., which may have hand
of the intelligence from Rome that
reached prosecutors in Atlanta, is investig
Justice Department. And Justice is looking
expense account of the F.B.I.'s Director.
ly, both the C.I.A. and F.B.I. contend they
to retrieve pertinent data reliably from th
ed intelligence files.

This is not a case that the Justice De
can be perceived to investigate dispassion
behalf of the Administration it represents
even a special prosecutor couldn't cle
embarrassing a mess. What's needed
Attorney General, at a minimum, is less
and more results.



THE PRESIDENT

Brent October 14, 1992

The NYT strikes again.

I assume "A" is false.

Yes No

GB

Per Rose 10/14
The Carnival answered the President's question - FILE

When House Democrats asked the
ent prosecutor to investigate aid given to
before the Persian Gulf war, Attorney General
William Barr was outraged. He sensed that political
opponents were out to get him. But Mr. Barr
asserted wrongly that his Justice Department was
handling the case credibly. Its key prosecution is
unraveling in a welter of confusion. Mr. Barr's
anger was unjustified.

Mistakes of judgment were made when the
Bush Administration kept trying to appease Sad-
dam Hussein long after Iraq's war with Iran had
ended. But crimes also were committed as the
United States favored Iraq with loan guarantees
ostensibly to pay for food. The money was diverted
to military purposes and Government records were
doctored to disguise the transactions.

For two years the main Government case has
been against Christopher Drogoul, the former At-
lanta branch manager for the Rome-based Banca
Nazionale del Lavoro. He stands virtually alone,
accused of defrauding his employers in Italy by
secretly lending Iraq billions of dollars, much of
which wound up helping Mr. Hussein's military.

... a little like nailing second
crimes of Watergate proportions. Mr.
no innocent, but he refuses to take the rap,
has withdrawn his guilty plea and will stay
after the election. The charge that he def.
employers, it now turns out, is almost
incomplete.

The plea bargain was dissolved at
quarreling between Justice and the C.I.A.
had overlooked evidence that the bank's of
Rome were aware of Mr. Drogoul's mach.

Now the F.B.I., which may have hand
of the intelligence from Rome that
reached prosecutors in Atlanta, is investig
Justice Department. And Justice is looking
expense account of the F.B.I.'s Director.
ly, both the C.I.A. and F.B.I. contend they
to retrieve pertinent data reliably from th
ed intelligence files.

This is not a case that the Justice De
can be perceived to investigate dispassio
behalf of the Administration it represents
even a special prosecutor couldn't cle
embarrassing a mess. What's needed
Attorney General, at a minimum, is less
and more results.

"A"