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**RESTRICION CODES**

- **Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]**  
  - (a)(1) National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]  
  - (a)(2) Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]  
  - (a)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]  
  - (a)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]  
  - (a)(5) Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]  
  - (a)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

- **Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]**  
  - (b)(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(5) Release would disclose personal privacy [(b)(5) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]  
  - (b)(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information  

PRM: Removed as a personal record misfile.
Brent October 14, 1992

The NYT strikes again.

I assume "A" is false.

Yes No

GB

When House Democrats asked the independent prosecutor to investigate aid given to Iraq before the Persian Gulf war, Attorney General William Barr was outraged. He sensed that political opponents were out to get him. But Mr. Barr asserted wrongly that his Justice Department was handling the case credibly. Its key prosecution is unraveling in a welter of confusion. Mr. Barr’s anger was unjustified.

Mistakes of judgment were made when the Bush Administration kept trying to appease Saddam Hussein long after Iraq’s war with Iran had ended. But crimes also were committed as the United States favored Iraq with loan guarantees ostensibly to pay for food. The money was diverted to military purposes and Government records were doctored to disguise the transactions.

For two years the main Government case has been against Christopher Drogoul, the former Atlanta branch manager for the Rome-based Banca Nazionale del Lavoro. He stands virtually alone, accused of defrauding his employers in Italy by secretly lending Iraq billions of dollars, much of which wound up helping Mr. Hussein’s military.

...as a little like nailing secondary crimes of Watergate proportions. Mr. Drogoul is no innocent, but he refuses to take the rap. He has withdrawn his guilty plea and will stand trial after the election. The charge that he defrauded his employers, it now turns out, is almost complete.

The plea bargain was dissolved as a quarreling between Justice and the C.I.A. had overlooked evidence that the bank’s officers were aware of Mr. Drogoul’s machinations.

Now the F.B.I., which may have handled some of the intelligence from Rome that reached prosecutors in Atlanta, is investigating the Justice Department. And Justice is looking at the expense account of the F.B.I.’s Director. Apparently, both the C.I.A. and F.B.I. contend they are now unable to retrieve pertinent data reliably from their ever-growing intelligence files.

This is not a case that the Justice Department can be perceived to investigate dispassionately on behalf of the Administration it represents. Even a special prosecutor couldn’t clear it up this embarrassing mess. What’s needed is an impec-
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When House Democrats asked the independent prosecutor to investigate aid given to Iraq before the Persian Gulf war, Attorney General William Barr was outraged. He sensed that political opponents were out to get him. But Mr. Barr asserted wrongly that his Justice Department was handling the case credibly. Its key prosecution is unraveling in a welter of confusion. Mr. Barr's anger was unjustified.

Mistakes of judgment were made when the Bush Administration kept trying to appease Saddam Hussein long after Iraq's war with Iran had ended. But crimes also were committed as the United States favored Iraq with loan guarantees ostensibly to pay for food. The money was diverted to military purposes and Government records were doctored to disguise the transactions.

For two years the main Government case has been against Christopher Drogoul, the former Atlanta branch manager for the Rome-based Banca Nazionale del Lavoro. He stands virtually alone, accused of defrauding his employers in Italy by secretly lending Iraq billions of dollars, much of which wound up helping Mr. Hussein's military.

As sad as nailing seconds to crimes of Watergate proportions. Mr. Drogoul is no innocent, but he refuses to take the rap. He has withdrawn his guilty plea and will stand trial after the election. The charge that he defrauded employers, it now turns out, is almost incomplete.

The plea bargain was dissolved and a quarrelling between Justice and the C.I.A. had overlapped evidence that the bank's of Rome were aware of Mr. Drogoul's machinations.

Now the F.B.I., which may have handled the intelligence from Rome that reached prosecutors in Atlanta, is investigating. Justice Department. And Justice is looking into the expense account of the F.B.I.'s Director. In any event, both the C.I.A. and F.B.I. contend they need to retrieve pertinent data reliably from the C.I.A. intelligence files.

This is not a case that the Justice Department can be perceived to investigate dispassionately on behalf of the Administration it represents. Even a special prosecutor couldn't clean up the embarrassing mess. What's needed, Attorney General, at a minimum, is less Mr. Barr and more results.