ID# 320211

THE WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

INCOMING

*X-INTERIM REPLY

TA 004-06

DATE RECEIVED: APRIL 06, 1992

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE JOHN P. MURTHA

SUBJECT: URGES THE PRESIDENT TO RESOLVE THE INABILITY OF THE U.S. TRADE NEGOTIATORS TO REACH A CONCLUSION REGARDING THE EXTENSION OF THE MACHINE TOOL VRA WITH TAIWAN AND JAPAN

ACTION DISPOSITION ROUTE TO: ACT DATE TYPE C COMPLETED OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME) CODE YY/MM/DD RESP D YY/MM/DD TICK CALIO ORG REFERRAL NOTE: REFERRAL NOTE: REFERRAL NOTE: 005 REFERRAL NOTE: ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS: 15 MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CODES: 1230 1240 USER CODES: (A) (B) (C) MAIL ************************** *DISPOSITION *ACTION CODES: *OUTGOING *CORRESPONDENCE: *A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-ANSWERED *TYPE RESP=INITIALS *C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-NON-SPEC-REFERRAL OF SIGNER *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-COMPLETED CODE = A*F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-SUSPENDED *COMPLETED = DATE OF *I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC* OUTGOING *R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY * *S-FOR-SIGNATURE

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE (ROOM 75,0EOB) EXT-2590 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS MANAGEMENT.



Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515

March 31, 1992

The President of the United States The White House Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President:

We are writing to express our concern about the inability of U.S. trade negotiators to reach a conclusion regarding your December 27, 1991 decision to extend the Machine Tool Voluntary Restraint Agreement with Taiwan and Japan.

It appears that under the terms now being proposed, one small U.S. importer of Taiwanese machines would be granted special licenses to import 300% more machines than the ceiling which has already been suggested for Taiwan. In addition, the Japanese have indicated that they will require a matching number with the Taiwanese in order to maintain parity. We believe that the net result of granting such import licenses would virtually eliminate any benefit the U.S. industry might gain from the two-year VRA extension.

Mr. President, the American machine tool industry deserves your continued support, not only for national security reasons but also for the jobs of 70,000 American workers and the communities in which they live. Time is of the essence if the U.S. machine tool industry is to benefit from your decision to extend the VRA program.

We urge you to resolve this impasse with the Taiwanese and the Japanese so that a reasonable agreement can be reached quickly. We stand ready to support you legislatively should you need our assistance.

Sincerely,

JOHN P. MURTHA

JOSEPH M. GAYDOS

OSEPH M. MCDADE

GUS YATRON

Mr. President
March 31, 1992
Page 2

RICK SANTORUM GEORGE W. GEKAS ROBERT A. BORSKI RICHARD (T. SCHULZE WILLIAM F. CLINGER WILLIAM J COYNE BUD SHUSTER

THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE Executive Office of the President Washington, D.C. 20506

The Honorable John P. Murtha U.S. House of Representatives Washington D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Murtha:

This is in further response to your letter to the President with respect to the negotiations of voluntary restraint agreements (VRA's) with Japan and Taiwan to implement the President's December 27, 1991 decision.

Since your letter, we have concluded negotiations with Japan. Japan is our major trading partner for machine tools, and we believe our agreement with Japan will permit U.S. companies to complete the necessary programs for advancing U.S. national security interests while providing a gradual return to market-determined competition.

Negotiations with Taiwan are continuing. We are frustrated and disappointed with the pace of negotiations. I want to assure you that imports of machine tools in the interim period before an agreement is reached will not be allowed to undermine the President's machine tool revitalization program, and I hope very much to conclude this negotiation in the near term.

With respect to special license procedures, we expect that the Department of Commerce will consider such requests on the same basis as it did in the 1986-91 VRA period, namely, so as to contribute to the creation of a strong, technologically advanced domestic machine tool industry.

Sincerely,

Carla A. Hills