The

THE WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

TAOOH-06

INCOMING

DATE RECEIVED: JANUARY 07, 1992

NAME OF CORRESPONDENT: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

SUBJECT: REQUESTS PRESIDENT TO EXTEND RESTRICTIONS ON

EXPORTS OF MACHINE TOOLS FROM JAPAN AND

TAIWAN AND TO INCLUDE NON-COMPUTER CONTROLLED

MILLING MACHINES IN THOSE RESTRICTIONS

	ACTION	DISPOSITION
ROUTE TO: OFFICE/AGENCY (STAFF NAME)	CODE YY/MM/DD	TYPE C COMPLETED RESP D YY/MM/DD
FREDERICK MCCLURE REFERRAL NOTE:	ORG 92/01/07	Fm A92/01/0876
794>1R	R 920116	
99DOC REFERRAL NOTE:	1 222 1 5	C 92/01/16 TH
REFERRAL NOTE:		
REFERRAL NOTE:		
REFERRAL NOTE:		
ADDITIONAL CORRESPONDENTS:	MEDIA:L INDIVIDUAL CO	DDES: 1210
MAIL USER CODES: (A)	(B) (C)	
******************************* *ACTION CODES: *DISPOSITION *A-APPROPRIATE ACTION *A-ANSWERF *C-COMMENT/RECOM *B-NON-SPF *D-DRAFT RESPONSE *C-COMPLET *F-FURNISH FACT SHEET *S-SUSPENDE *I-INFO COPY/NO ACT NEC* *R-DIRECT REPLY W/COPY *	CON *OUTGOI *CORRES *TYPE F *C-REFERRAL *	
*S-FOR-SIGNATURE * *X-INTERIM REPLY *	*	*
**************	**********	******

REFER QUESTIONS AND ROUTING UPDATES TO CENTRAL REFERENCE (ROOM 75,0EOB) EXT-2590 KEEP THIS WORKSHEET ATTACHED TO THE ORIGINAL INCOMING LETTER AT ALL TIMES AND SEND COMPLETED RECORD TO RECORDS MANAGEMENT.

JOSEPH I. LIEBL

COMMITTEES:

ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS
GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
SMALL BUSINESS

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-0703

January 6, 1991

SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510 (202) 224-4041

> ONE COMMERCIAL PLAZA 21st Floor HARTFORD, CT 06103

203-240-3566 Toll Free: 1-800-225-5605

The Honorable George Bush President
The White House
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

I am writing to you concerning your recent decision to ask Japan and Taiwan to extend restrictions on exports of machine tools to the U.S. for another two years. I applaud that decision, but I ask that you not eliminate non-computer controlled milling machines from the list of machines covered under the agreements.

By eliminating non-computer machines, you may undermine what I understand the intent of the decision: to protect computer controlled machine production. The reason for this is that standard, non-computer milling machines can be readily retrofitted with a computer control package, making them comparable to computer controlled machines. This is a common industry practice and does not require complicated adjustments to the machines.

If non-computer machines are left out of a new VRA agreement, then there will be a proliferation of retrofitting of this equipment, effectively circumventing the limits on imported computer controlled machines. This would undermine the intent of the VRA agreement.

I request, therefore, that you reconsider the decision to eliminate machine tools that are not controlled by computers from the new agreement, in order to help the machine tool industry regain their competitive health.

Manufacturing in Connecticut, as in many states across the nation, has suffered a great deal over the last few years. An extension of the machine tool VRA for another two years, including non-computer machine tools, would be of great benefit to the machine tool industry in Connecticut and throughout the country.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of my request.

Sincerely

Joseph I. Lieberman

JIL:wcd