C107299 5 8 155

WHITE HOUSE CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING WORKSHEET

□ · O · OUTGOI	NG		780		
☐ H - INTERNA	AL				
☑ I - INCOMIN Date Con Received	HG rrespondence H(YY/MM/DD) 91/ 7/	5		11,1	
Name of Co	orrespondent: <u>//mr.//</u> n	Mrs.//Miss//M	S .	mer/m	ini
□ MI Moil	Popert I	Jser Codes: (A) _		(B)	(C)
☐ MI Mail	neport t	Jser Codes. (A) _			(0)
Subject:	Ki lauran	. Athmas	in 1	- 47	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
-					
	8		/		25
ROUTE TO:		AC	TION	DISPOSITION	
Office/Agency	(Staff Name)	Action Gode	Tracking Date YY/MM/DD	Type of Response	Completion Date Code YY/MM/DD
	CoKell	ORIGINATOR	91107 176		C 91/0 12
17	= 140	Referral Note:	91/0 🕅	7	1 91/08/
		Referral Note:		·····	
	S				
		Referral Note:	12	3	
		Referral Note:			
		Referral Note:			
	ACTION CODES: A - Appropriate Action C - Comment/Recommendation D - Draft Response F - Furnish Fact Sheet to be used as Enclosure	I - Info Copy Only/No Ad R - Direct Reply w/Copy S - For Signature X - Interim Reply	ction Necessary	DISPOSITION CODES: A - Answered B - Non-Special Reference FOR OUTGOING CORRE Type of Response = Code = Completion Date =	SPONDENCE: Initials of Signer "A"
Comments:					
John Henris.					
			i		980

Keep this worksheet attached to the original incoming letter.

Send all routing updates to Central Reference (Room 75, OEOB).

Always return completed correspondence record to Central Files.

Refer questions about the correspondence tracking system to Central Reference, ext. 2590.





The President
The White House
Washington, DC

July 22, 1991

Re: Taiwan Admission to GATT

Dear Mr. President:

Imagineering Inc. is a Bergen County, New Jersey-based U.S. owned and operated small business corporation engaged in the development of intellectual property. This intellectual property is primarily in the form of computer software embodied on ROM cartridges which operates through video game machines.

My company strongly opposes the admission of Taiwan to GATT.

Taiwan is the worldwide center of international copyright piracy. Taiwan has never joined the Berne Convention. The government of Taiwan does nothing to stop wide ranging copying and worldwide distribution of copyrighted computer software, including products owned or developed by my employer. Imagineering provides jobs to skilled and creative New Jersey-ians and New Yorkers. The Taiwanese rip us off while their government does nothing to put a halt to this practice.

We feel very strongly that until Taiwan stops supporting international copyright piracy for the benefit of its own semiconductor industries, at the expense of owners of intellectual property around the world, the U.S. should not reward such conduct by permitting Taiwan to join GATT.

Yours sincerely,

James Charne

Vice President Legal & Business Affairs

General Counsel

/j/cc: Garry Kitchen



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE International Trade Administration

Washington, D.C. 20230

AUG 1 6 1991

Mr. James Charne Vice President Legal and Business Affairs General Counsel Imagineering, Inc. P.O. Box 116 Glen Rock, NJ 07452

Dear Mr. Charne:

Thank you for your recent letter to the President stating your opposition to Taiwan's GATT accession based on its abuses of intellectual property right (IPR) protection. Your letter was forwarded to my office from the White House for a reply.

I appreciate your concern regarding the problem of computer software piracy in Taiwan. The United States has been working with Taiwan for several years to correct the deficiencies in its IPR protection. During this time Taiwan has made significant improvements in its IPR protection, including in the copyright area. For instance, the United States and Taiwan initialled a bilateral copyright agreement in July 1989 which provides for protection at approximately the same level as that of the Berne Convention. Taiwan is currently putting the finishing touches on its copyright law to bring it into full compliance with this bilateral agreement.

While the United States is pleased with the progress Taiwan has made, we recognize that serious problems remain. This is why the United States has cited Taiwan under the so-called "Special 301" provision of the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988, which flags countries where the United States has serious problems in the IPR area. Special 301 provides that if a country has particularly egregious IPR practices that have a significant effect on U.S. products or persons that rely on IPR protection, and does not agree to make progress, the United States can take unilateral trade steps to address the situation.

The United States will continue to work with Taiwan under Special 301 to encourage further improvements in its IPR regime. Although Taiwan's IPR performance is far from perfect, the United States does not believe that this is grounds for opposing its membership in the GATT. In fact having Taiwan as a GATT member will actually provide the United States with additional tools to press for further improvements, particularly during the accession process where we often have maximum leverage to bring countries into compliance with existing GATT provisions. This will be especially true if we are successful in concluding an agreement under the GATT

to address the trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights (TRIPs) which is currently being discussed in the Uruguay Round of multilateral trade negotiations.

I trust that this reply may alleviate some of your concerns regarding Taiwan's GATT application. I should also note that the President recently stated in a letter to Congress that "the United States will begin to work actively with other [GATT] contracting parties to resolve in a favorable manner the issues relating to Taiwan's GATT accession." The particulars with respect to this statement are presently undergoing further review. However, we view it as a positive step towards the eventual full integration of Taiwan into the community of trading nations.

Sincerely,

Director

Office of Multilateral Affairs